

Category	Content	Notes
Subject/Issue	<p><u>Differing Demonstrations/Patterns of Participation and Involvement</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which forms of participation in the People's Strike organizing to encourage and accommodate or discourage and disallow • Whether familiar and strict preferences for involvement and recognized participation in PS should be the determining standard or if accommodating a broad scope of participation styles and inclinations better serves PS's potentials for successful movement-building 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Contextual Relevance or Considerations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organizing is a relationship that is, in many ways, about reaching workable accords between distinct styles/orientations to organizing [Lucci] • Dealing with multiple tendencies dealing with multiple organizations and trying to come up with coherent ways that we can walk together and struggle together is our path forward with the aim of constructing a unity to practice [Walda] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Distinct Perspectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposed to, disapproving of, or guarded toward unproven patterns of involvement as obstacles to productive functioning [Guarded perspective] • Accommodatingly inclusive of distinctive patterns of involvement as necessary to cohesive diversity [Accommodating perspective] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognize that these positions are argued to defend debated priorities of PS with potential validity in distinct categories of relevance (i.e. <i>sustaining critical momentum</i> and <i>cultivating essential interrelationships</i>)
Perspective Interests/Ideals/End Vision	<p><u>Interests of the Guarded perspective:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Being able to work with those one has a strong sense of alignment with generates an intimate confidence in the common efforts being organized • Avoiding being unduly obstructed from the work we believe in <p><u>Interests of the Accommodating perspective:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are cautions to not discount distinctive forms and patterns of involvement that may be disquieting to some but enabling to others • It is expanding the PS formation's resilience to being assaulted on unprepared/unnoticed fronts and flanks it may not be fortifying by enabling involvement from those who are particularly drawn to organizing PS's unattended to flanks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish the interests underlying argued positions and identifying what scope/range of options may be capable of producing outcomes that meet those interests—deferring to those most protective of an established value consensus. • Explore how to meet the underlying interests...keeping any discussion of disagreements and their reasons on the underlying interests instead of personalities.
Reference Considerations	<p>Successful movement-building in current times involves recognizing the growing capacity of disparity forces to be insulated from social disfavor and uprisings more than in the past, and also understanding the necessity of appealing to a wider and wider base of supporters and participants to grow in numbers, the diversity of supporters, and in the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This very often entails accommodating people where and how they choose to be involved as a formula for effective movement-

	links that supporters have to different pillars of support, in order to gain percentages of participation required for a general strike call to succeed.	building [Erica Chenoweth]
	Considering what we saw across the board is, all of our formations are hurting In so many different ways [Kali]	•
	I don't think right now there's going to be a whole bunch of new formations coming into People Strike, which is fine and should just be acknowledged and strategized [Kali]	•
	Those who are here finding ways to work deeper actually was needed, cause part of the reason why some things that move the way they did was because of the lack of depth within our relationships. But also it demonstrates how our own teams don't even have some time the deepest of benches and need a critical amount of development as well. [Kali]	•
	We are an organization of a broad spectrum of organizations...how do we use the power and resource of Both a diversity of perspectives. (progressive left to radical left) to elevate the conversation and organizing? [?]	•
	We need to stay in conversational relationship. Right now none of us can really look out and see any particular formation. Right. That is the formation that is going to carry this revolutionary struggle to the next stage. But we all need to be in that conversation. We all need to be in relationship, and bring to every conversation with other revolutionaries "What are the strategy and tactics and the organizational forms that are going to hold the revolutionary process so that we bring the next generation forward to survival with the planet. [Walda]	•
	What is the core of what we need to establish with intentionality in terms of the who, and the what, and the how, and so forth? However we would proceed, those are the questions that we have to discuss. And I don't think it's a one conversation discussion. [Melanie]	•
	We want the working group to go ahead and brainstorm any considerations for how we can move forward as a group strategically, as well as functionally, and operationally that we can all agree on. In those conversation...if there isn't a clear connection there, maybe there are specific projects that you see being involved in the ongoing of the People's Strike. [?]	•
	A great many of those I observe that we've recently been critical of for undemonstrated/uncommitted involvement have demonstrated both involvement in various efforts of their own organizing, and an interest for bringing these organizing	•

	linkages to their PS involvement [lucci]	
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
Resolution Concentrations	QUESTIONS OR UNCERTAINTIES TO SOLVE	•
	How do we get our organizations to work more closely together? [S]	•
	I don't think that work can be done disconnected from some kind of grounding with some kind of <i>on the ground</i> work, and looking at the 3 pieces that we talked about Cooperation Jackson, LRNA, May First...is there a hook there? If not there, where do we want to ground it? [Rose]	•
		•
		•
		•
	PROCEDURAL	•
	Discuss solutions with potential to collectively meet various interests represented in the dialogue—thereby expanding solidarity through confidence that just consideration of distinguishing interests is a principle in which PS organizes and, as a result has more potential for expanding involvement—rather than considerations argued to victoriously prevail over distinct (not differing) interests and, therefore, compromisingly filters involvement in PS too restrictively.	•
		•
		•
	OTHER	•
		•
		•
		•
Strategic	•what it takes to get there categorically. what are the systematic approaches to interests and issues that will be addressed	•

Proposals (Representing all perspective interests)	by efforts contributed to the concept and the approaches (service assistance or other types of direct action; academic or other educational emphasis; direct or indirect support of efforts; inspirational or consciousness raising; informational resource or reference; enterprise incubation/development; etc.)?	
Proposal Intersections, Reconcilable Contrasts, and Irreconcilable Variances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> what are the specific initiatives; program models; or other activities that will be employed to conduct the categorical and systematic approaches of the strategy (i.e. community centers providing (x) services; rainwater catchment business venture that will employ (x) population and alleviate (x) neighborhood flooding issues-or provide (x) alternative to (x) obsolete water management systems; recycling project that fills (x) niche in the region; etc)? 	•
Optional Considerations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> opportunity for innovations on traditional process 	•
Optional Considerations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> opportunity for innovations on traditional process 	•
Recommendations /Determinations	•	•
Goals/ Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> for each method over the course of (x) period, we project (x) that will result is (x) benefits to (x) constituency. 	•
Steps	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> to reach goals/measures that will be undertaken to produce the projected results of each goal, and delegations of work to committed skilled agents or trainees. 	•
Tasks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (for each step) 	•
Agent Delegations (who, for each task) <small>Categories of allies/participants needed to meet benchmarks and undertake tasks, and identified/designated associates who qualify to fill these roles from within the circles/networks of those steering the concept.</small>	Alliances	•
	•	•
	•	•
	•	•
	•	•
	•	•
Benchmarks (when)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> timeframe projections in which to accomplish various task before safely/confidently proceeding to subsequent tasks. 	•
	•	•
	•	•